

Lagrange Multipliers 2

This is a follow on sheet to **Lagrange Multipliers 1** and as promised, in this sheet we will look at an example in which the **Lagrange multiplier** λ has a concrete meaning and this will enable us to find the answer to a related optimization problem without having to go through the whole process of solving the Lagrange equations again.

Cobb-Douglas Production Functions

Let q denote the quantity produced of a good. In general this will depend on the amount of capital and labour employed in the production. As a first approximation, we will assume that

$$q = f(K, L),$$

where K denotes capital and L denotes labour.

A Cobb-Douglas production function relates the quantities q, K, and L in the following way:

$$q = cK^{\alpha}L^{\beta},$$

where α , β and c are constants and α and β are such that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$.

Example

Consider the Cobb-Douglas production function

$$q = 30x^{2/3}y^{3/10},$$

where x represents the number of units of capital and y represents the number of units of labour. Suppose that a firm's unit capital and labour costs are $\in 5$ and $\in 6$ respectively.

- 1. Find the values of x and y that maximise output if the total input costs are fixed at \in 7250.
- 2. Find the new maximum output if the input costs are increased to \in 7300.

Solution

1. We have to maximize $q = 30x^{\frac{2}{3}}y^{\frac{3}{10}}$ subject to 5x + 6y = 7250.

We let our constraint equation be g(x) = 5x + 6y - 7250 = 0.

Since $\nabla g = (5, 6) \neq (0, 0)$, there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla q = \lambda \nabla g$.

We need to solve $\nabla q = \lambda \nabla g$ and g = 0. Now

$$\nabla q = \left(20x^{-\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{3}{10}}, 9x^{\frac{2}{3}}y^{-\frac{7}{10}}\right) = \left(\frac{20y^{\frac{3}{10}}}{x^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \frac{9x^{\frac{2}{3}}}{y^{\frac{7}{10}}}\right) = \lambda(5, 6).$$

Thus

$$\frac{20y^{\frac{3}{10}}}{x^{\frac{1}{3}}} = 5\lambda \text{ and } \frac{9x^{\frac{2}{3}}}{y^{\frac{7}{10}}} = 6\lambda.$$

Hence

$$\lambda = \frac{4y^{\frac{7}{10}}}{x^{\frac{1}{3}}} = \frac{3x^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2y^{\frac{7}{10}}} \implies 8y = 3x \implies y = \frac{3}{8}x.$$

Substituting this in the constraint equation, we obtain

$$5x + 6 \cdot \frac{3}{8}x = 7250 \implies \frac{29}{4}x = 7250 \implies x = 1000$$
 and then $y = 375$

We now check the value of q at (1000, 375) and also at the endpoints of the constraint line 5x + 6y = 7250 to determine where the maximum occurs. Since we are only interested in non-negative values of x and y, the endpoints of the constraint line 5x + 6y = 7250 lie where where it cuts the x and y axes, that is at (1450, 0) and $\left(0, \frac{3625}{3}\right)$.

Now

$$q(1450,0) = q\left(0,\frac{3625}{3}\right) = 0$$
 and $q(1000,375) \simeq 17755.$

Thus the maximum is indeed attained at x = 1000, y = 375.

2. We will use the fact that if the input costs are increased by $\in 1$ then the maximum output is increased by the Lagrange multiplier λ , which in this context is called **The Marginal Productivity of Money**.

So in this case the marginal productivity of money is

$$\lambda = \frac{4(375)^{\frac{3}{10}}}{(1000)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \simeq 2.37.$$

Since we are increasing the input costs by $\in 50$, the new maximum output is the old maximum output plus 50λ , so it is

 $q(1000, 375) + 50\lambda \simeq 17873.$